

FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT
CLOSE HOLD

A BILL

To facilitate bringing terrorists-enemy combatants to justice through full and fair trial by military commissions, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

CHAPTER 1—

SECTION 101. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Enemy Combatant Military Commissions Act of 2006."

SECTION 102. FINDINGS.

The Congress finds:

- (1) For more than 10 years, the al Qaeda terrorist organization has waged an unlawful war of violence and terror against the United States and its allies. Al Qaeda was involved in the bombing of the World Trade Center in New York City in 1993, the bombing of the U.S. Embassies in Kenya and Tanzania in 1998, and the attack on the U.S.S. Cole in Yemen in 2000. On September 11, 2001, al Qaeda launched the most deadly foreign attack on U.S. soil in history. Nineteen al Qaeda operatives hijacked four commercial aircraft and piloted them into the World Trade Center Towers in New York City and the headquarters of the U.S. Department of Defense at the Pentagon, and downed United Airlines Flight 93. The attack destroyed the Towers, severely damaged the Pentagon, and resulted in the deaths of approximately 3,000 innocent people.
- (2) Following the attacks on the United States on September 11, Congress recognized the existing hostilities with al Qaeda and affiliated terrorist organizations and by the Authorization for the Use of Military Force Joint Resolution (Public Law 107-40) recognized that "the President has authority under the Constitution to take action to deter and prevent acts of international terrorism against the United States" and authorized the President "to use all necessary and appropriate force against those nations, organizations, or persons he determines planned, authorized, committed, or aided the terrorist attacks that occurred on September 11, 2001 . . . in order to prevent any future acts of international terrorism against the United States by such nations, organizations or persons."

**FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT
CLOSE HOLD**

- (3) The President's authority to convene military tribunals arises from the Constitution's vesting in the President of the executive power and the power of Commander in Chief of the Armed Forces. As the Supreme Court of the United States recognized in *Madsen v. Khisella*, 343 U.S. 341 (1952), "[s]ince our nation's earliest days, such tribunals have been constitutionally recognized agencies for meeting many urgent governmental responsibilities related to war. . . . They have taken many forms and borne many names. Neither their procedure nor their jurisdiction has been prescribed by statute. It has been adapted in each instance to the need that called it forth."
- (4) Exercising authority vested in the President by the Constitution and laws of the United States, including the Authorization for Use of Military Force Joint Resolution, and consistent in accordance with the laws of war, the President has (A) detained enemy combatants in the course of this armed conflict; and (B) issued the Military Order of November 13, 2001 to govern the "Detention, Treatment, and Trial of Certain Non-Citizens in the War Against Terrorism," which authorized the Secretary of Defense to establish military commissions to try individuals subject to that Order by military commission for any offenses triable by military commission that such individuals are alleged to have committed.
- (5) The Supreme Court in *Hamdan v. Rumsfeld* (2006) held that the military commissions established by the Department of Defense under the President's Military Order of November 13, 2001 were not consistent with certain aspects of U.S. domestic law. The Congress may by law, and does by enactment of this statute, eliminate any deficiency of statutory authority to facilitate bringing alien enemy combatants with whom the United States is engaged in armed conflict to justice for violations of the laws of war and other crimes triable by military commissions. The prosecution of such alien enemy combatants by military commissions established and conducted consistent with this Act fully complies with the Constitution, the laws of the United States, treaties to which the United States is a party, and the laws of war.
- (6) The use of military commissions is particularly important because the conflict between the United States and international terrorist organizations, including al-Qaeda, the Taliban, and associated forces generally makes other alternatives, such as the use of Federal courts or courts-martial, are impracticable. The terrorists with whom the United States is engaged in armed conflict have demonstrated a commitment to the destruction of the United States and its people, to violation of the laws of war, and to the abuse of American legal processes. In a time of ongoing armed conflict, it is neither practicable nor appropriate for alien

**FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT—
CLOSE HOLD**

enemy combatants like al Qaeda terrorists to be tried like American citizens in Federal courts or courts-martial.

- (7) Many procedures for courts martial would not be practicable in trying alien enemy combatants for whom this Act provides for trial by military commission. For instance, court-martial proceedings would in certain circumstances—
- (A) require the Government to share classified information with the accused, even though members of al Qaeda cannot be trusted with our Nation's secrets and it would not be consistent with the national security of the United States to provide them with access to classified information;
 - (B) exclude the use of hearsay evidence determined to be probative and reliable, even though the hearsay statements from, for example, fellow terrorists are often the only evidence available in this conflict, given that terrorists rarely fight and declare their intentions openly but instead pursue terrorist objectives in secret conspiracies the objectives of which can often be discerned only or primarily through hearsay statements from collaborators; and
 - (C) specify speedy trials and technical rules for sworn and authenticated statements when, due to the exigencies of wartime, the United States cannot safely require members of the armed forces to gather evidence on the battlefield as though they were police officers nor can the United States divert members from the front lines and their duty stations to attend military commission proceedings.
- (8) The exclusive judicial review for which this Act, and the Detainee Treatment Act of 2005, provides, is without precedent in the history of armed conflicts involving the United States, exceeds the scope of judicial review historically provided for by military commissions, and is charmed in a manner appropriately tailored to—
- (A) the circumstances of the conflicts between the United States and international terrorist organizations; and
 - (B) and the needs to ensure fair treatment of those detained as enemy combatants, to minimize the diversion of members of the armed force ~~for from~~ other wartime duties, and to protect the national security of the United States.

FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT

CLOSE HOLD

- (9) In early 2002, as memorialized in a memorandum dated February 7, 2002, the President determined that common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions did not apply with respect to the United States conflict with al Qaeda because al Qaeda was not a party to those treaties and the conflict with al Qaeda was an armed conflict of an international character. That was the interpretation of the United States prior to the Supreme Court's decision in *Hamdan* on June 29, 2006. The statement by the Supreme Court in *Hamdan* that common Article 3 applied gave rise to uncertainties in the conduct of the conflict, and this Act addresses such uncertainties. In particular, this Act makes clear that the standards for treating detainees under the Detainee Treatment Act of 2005 fully satisfy any obligations of the United States regarding detainee treatment under common Article 3(1), except for those obligations arising under paragraphs (b) and (d). In addition, the Act makes clear that the Geneva Conventions are not a source of judicially enforceable individual rights, thereby reaffirming that enforcement of the legal and political obligations imposed by the Conventions is a matter between the nations that are parties to them.

SEC. 102103. DEFINITIONS.

As used in this Act:

- (1) "alien enemy combatant" means an enemy combatant who is not a citizen of the United States;
- (2)(1) "classified information" means any information or material that has been determined by the United States Government pursuant to an Executive order, statute, or regulation, to require protection against unauthorized disclosure for reasons of national security and any restricted data, as defined in paragraph r. of section 11 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954;
- (2)(2) "commission" means a military commission established pursuant to chapter 2 of this Act;
- (4)(3) "enemy combatant," for the purposes of this statute, means a person engaged in hostilities against the United States or its coalition partners who has committed an act that violates the law of war and this statute. The term enemy combatant includes "lawful combatants" and "unlawful combatants," an individual (other than an individual found by the President or the Secretary of Defense to be entitled to status as a prisoner of war or as a "protected person" under Article 4 of the Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War of August 12, 1949) determined by or under the authority of the President or the Secretary of Defense, to (i) —

**FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT—
CLOSE HOLD**

- (A) "Lawful" enemy combatant include members of the regular armed forces of a State party to the conflict; militia, volunteer corps, and organized resistance movements belonging to a State party to the conflict, which are under responsible command, wear a fixed distinctive sign recognizable at a distance, carry their arms openly, and abide by the laws of war; and members of regular armed forces who profess allegiance to a government or an authority not recognized by the detaining power be part of or supporting an international terrorist organization engaged in hostilities against the United States or its co-belligerents, including but not limited to al Qaeda, the Taliban, or associated forces;
- (B) "Unlawful" enemy combatants are persons not entitled to combatant immunity, who engage in acts against the United States or its coalition partners in violation of the laws and customs of war during an armed conflict. Spies and saboteurs are traditional examples of unlawful enemy combatants. For purposes of the war on terrorism, the term Unlawful Enemy Combatant is defined to include, but is not limited to, an individual who is or was part of or supporting Taliban or al Qaeda forces, or associated forces that are engaged in hostilities against the United States or its coalition partners to have committed a belligerent act in aid of such an organization so engaged; or
- (C) to have directly supported hostilities in aid of such enemy armed forces.
- (4) "Geneva Conventions" means the four international conventions signed at Geneva, 12 August 1949, including common Article 3;
- (5) "Law of war" is that part of international law that regulates the conduct of armed hostilities. It is often called the law of armed conflict. The law of war encompasses all international law applicable to the conduct of hostilities that is binding on the United States or its individual citizens, including treaties and international agreements to which the United States is a party (e.g., the Geneva Conventions of 1949), and applicable customary international law as recognized by the United States.
- (6) "person" includes corporations, companies, associations, firms, partnerships, societies, and joint stock companies, as well as individuals.

SEC. 103104. AUTHORIZATION FOR MILITARY COMMISSIONS.

FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT
CLOSE HOLD

- (a) The President is authorized to establish military commissions for the trial of alien enemy combatants for violations of the laws and customs of war and other crimes triable by military commissions as provided in chapter 2 of this Act. The grant of this authority should not be understood to limit the President's constitutional authority to establish military commissions on the battlefield, in occupied territories, or in armed conflicts should circumstances so require.
- (b) Military commissions shall have the authority, under such limitations as the President or Secretary of Defense may prescribe, to adjudicate any punishment not forbidden by this act, including the penalty of death, imprisonment for life or term of years, payment of fine or restitution, or any other lawful punishment impose upon any accused found guilty after a proceeding under this Act a sentence that is appropriate to the offense or offenses for which there was a finding of guilt, which sentence may include death, imprisonment for life or term of years, payment of fine or restitution, or such other lawful punishment or condition of punishment as the Commission shall determine to be proper.
- (c) The Secretary of Defense or his designee shall be authorized to carry out a sentence of punishment decreed by a military commission pursuant to such procedures.
- (d) The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Armed Services Committees of the House of Representatives and the Senate an annual report on the conduct of trials by military commissions under this Act. Each such report shall be submitted in unclassified form, with classified annex, if necessary, and consistent with national security. The report shall be submitted not later than December 31 of each year.
- (e) Pursuant to the President's authority under the Constitution and laws of the United States, including the Authorization for Use of Military Force Joint Resolution, and in accordance with the law of war, the United States has the authority to detain persons who have engaged in unlawful belligerence until the cessation of hostilities. The authority to detain enemy combatants until the cessation of hostilities is wholly independent of any pre-trial detention or sentence to confinement that may occur as a result of a military commission. An enemy combatant may always be detained, regardless of the pendency or outcome of a military commission until the cessation of hostilities as a means to prevent their return to the fight.

CHAPTER 2—MILITARY COMMISSIONS

This chapter may be cited as the "Code of Military Commissions" and shall be codified as Chapter 47A of Title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 201. MILITARY COMMISSIONS GENERALLY.

**FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT**

CLOSE HOLD

(e) PURPOSE.—This chapter codifies and establishes procedures governing the use of military commissions to try alien enemy combatants for violations of the laws of war and any other crimes triable by military commissions⁽¹⁾. Although military commissions have traditionally been constituted by order of the President, the decision of the Supreme Court in *Hamdan v. Rumsfeld* makes it both necessary and appropriate to codify procedures for military commissions as set forth herein.

(a)

(b) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—The procedures for military commissions set forth in this chapter are modeled after the procedures established for courts martial in the Uniform Code of Military Justice. As provided in Chapter 1, Section 102 (7), it is not practicable to try unlawful enemy combatants pursuant to the UCMJ or the procedures contained in the Manual for Courts-martial. However, due to the similarities of the UCMJ and CMC, the precedents established under the UCMJ may form precedential value for military judges and appellate courts when interpreting the rules under the CMC, but only inasmuch as the provisions of each act are the same. It is not intended that any of the rights, privileges, or procedures contained under the UCMJ, and specifically removed from the CMC, are to be applied by implication or application. It would be neither desirable nor practicable to try alien enemy combatants by court-martial procedures, however. Therefore, no construction or application of chapter 47 of this title shall be controlling in the construction or application of this chapter.⁽²⁾

(c) Members of al Qaeda and affiliated organizations may be tried for war crimes⁽³⁾ violations of the law of war and offenses triable by military commissions committed against the United States or its co-belligerents before, on, or after September 11, 2001. A person charged with an offense under this Act may be tried and punished at any time without limitations. An acquittal or conviction under this act does not preclude the United States, in accordance with the law of war, to detain enemy combatants until the cessation of hostilities as a means to prevent their return to the fight.

(d) A military commission established under this chapter is a regularly constituted court, affording all the necessary judicial guarantees for purposes of common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions.

SEC. 202. PERSONS SUBJECT TO MILITARY COMMISSIONS.

Alien enemy combatants, as defined in section 102 of this Act, shall be subject to trial by military commissions as set forth in this chapter.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 2)

SEC. 203. JURISDICTION OF MILITARY COMMISSIONS.

FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT—
CLOSE HOLD

Military commissions shall have jurisdiction to try any offense made punishable by this chapter, or by regulations promulgated pursuant to this chapter, when committed by an alien enemy combatant.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 17, 18)

SEC. 204. WHO MAY CONVENE MILITARY COMMISSIONS.

- (a) The Secretary of Defense may issue orders appointing one or more military commissions to try individuals under this chapter.
- (b) The Secretary of Defense may delegate his authority to convene military commissions or to promulgate any regulations under this chapter.
- (c) The "Secretary" in this chapter shall be the "Secretary of Defense." The "convening authority" shall be the Secretary of Defense or his designee.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 22)

SEC. 205. WHO MAY SERVE ON MILITARY COMMISSIONS. (p)

- (a) Any commissioned officer of the United States Armed Forces on active duty is eligible to serve on a military commission. Eligible commissioned officers shall include, without limitation, reserve personnel on active duty, National Guard personnel on active duty in Federal service, or retired personnel recalled to active duty.
- (b) When convening a commission, the convening authority shall detail as members thereof such members of the armed forces as, in his opinion, are best qualified for the duty by reason of age, education, training, experience, length of service, and judicial temperament. No member of an armed force is eligible to serve as a member of a commission when he is the accuser or a witness for the prosecution or has acted as investigating officer or as counsel in the same case.
- (c) Before a commission is assembled for the trial of a case, the convening authority may excuse a member of the court from participating in the case.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 25)

SEC. 206. MILITARY JUDGE OF A MILITARY COMMISSION.

- (a) A military judge shall be detailed to each commission. The Secretary shall prescribe regulations providing for the manner in which military judges are detailed for such commissions and for the persons who are authorized to detail military judges for such courts-martial commissions. The military judge shall preside over each commission to which he has been detailed.

**FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT—
CLOSE HOLD**

- (b) A military judge shall be a commissioned officer of the armed forces who is a member of the bar of a Federal court or a member of the bar of the highest court of a State and who is certified to be qualified for duty as a military judge by the Judge Advocate General of the armed force of which such military judge is a member.
- (c) The military judge of a commission shall be designated by the Judge Advocate General, or his designee, of the armed force of which the military judge is a member in accordance with regulations prescribed under subsection (a). Unless the military commission is convened by the Secretary of Defense, neither the convening authority nor any member of his staff shall prepare or review any report concerning the effectiveness, fitness, or efficiency of the military judge so detailed, which relates to his performance of duty as a military judge. A commissioned officer who is certified to be qualified for duty as a military judge of a commission may perform such duties as are assigned to him by or with the approval of that Judge Advocate General or his designee.
- (d) No person is eligible to act as military judge in a case if he is the accuser or a witness or has acted as investigating officer or a counsel in the same case.
- (e) The military judge of a commission may not consult with the members of the commission except in the presence of the accused (except as provided in section 216), trial counsel, and defense counsel, nor may he vote with the members of the commission.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 26)

SEC. 207. DETAIL OF TRIAL COUNSEL AND DEFENSE COUNSEL

- (a) Trial counsel and defense counsel shall be detailed for each commission. Assistant trial counsel and assistant and associate defense counsel may be detailed for each commission. Defense counsel shall be detailed as soon as practicable after the swearing of charges against the person accused. The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations providing for the manner in which counsel are detailed for such commission and for the persons who are authorized to detail counsel for such commission.
- (b) No person who has acted as investigating officer, military judge, or ~~commission member~~ in any case may act later as trial counsel or, unless expressly requested by the accused, as defense counsel in the same case. No person who has acted for the prosecution may act later in the same case for the defense, nor may any person who has acted for the defense act later in the same case for the prosecution.

**FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT—
CLOSE HOLD**

(c) Trial counsel or defense counsel detailed for a military commission—

- (1) must be a judge advocate who is a graduate of an accredited law school or is a member of the bar of a Federal court or of the highest court of a State; or must be a member of the bar of a Federal court or of the highest court of a State; and
- (2) must be certified as competent to perform such duties by the Judge Advocate General of the armed force of which he is a member; or
- (3) must be otherwise qualified to practice before the commission pursuant to regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 27)

SEC. 208. DETAIL OR EMPLOYMENT OF REPORTERS AND INTERPRETERS.

Under such regulations as the Secretary of Defense may prescribe, the convening authority of a military commission shall detail or employ qualified court reporters, who shall record the proceedings of and testimony taken before that commission. Under like regulations the convening authority may detail or employ interpreters who shall interpret for the commission, to include interpretation for the defense.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 28)

SEC. 209. ABSENT AND ADDITIONAL MEMBERS.

- (a) No member of a military commission may be absent or excused after the court commission has been assembled for the trial of the accused unless excused as a result of challenge, excused by the military judge for physical disability or other good cause, or excused by order of the convening authority for good cause.
- (b) A military commission shall have at least five members. Whenever a military commission is reduced below that number, the trial may not proceed unless the convening authority details new members sufficient in number to provide not less than five members. The trial may proceed with the new members present after the recorded evidence previously introduced before the members of the court commission has been read to the court commission in the presence of the military judge, the accused (except as provided by section 216), and counsel for both sides.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 29)

SEC. 210. CHARGES AND SPECIFICATIONS.

**FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT—
CLOSE HOLD**

- (a) Charges and specifications shall be signed by a person subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice under oath before a commissioned officer of the armed forces authorized to administer oaths and shall state:
 - (1) that the signer has personal knowledge of, or reason to believe, the matters set forth therein; and
 - (2) that they are true in fact to the best of his/her knowledge and belief.
- (b) Upon the swearing of the charges in accordance with subsection (a), the person accused shall be informed of the charges against him as soon as practicable.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 30)

SEC. 211. COMPULSORY SELF-INCRIMINATION PROHIBITED.

- (a) No person shall be required to testify against himself at a commission proceeding.
- (b) Statements obtained by use of torture, as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 2340, whether or not under color of law, shall not be admissible, except against a person accused of torture as evidence the statement was made. No otherwise admissible statement obtained through the use of ~~torture~~ may be received in evidence if the military judge finds that the circumstances under which the statement was made render it unreliable or lacking in probative value.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 31)

SEC. 212. SERVICE OF CHARGES.

The trial counsel to whom charges are referred for trial shall cause to be served upon the accused a copy of the charges upon which trial is to be had in English and, if appropriate, in another language that the accused understands, sufficiently in advance of trial to prepare a defense.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 35)

SEC. 213. RULES OF PROCEDURE.

- (a) Pretrial, trial, and post-trial procedures, including modes of proof, for cases triable in military commissions may be prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, but may not be contrary to or inconsistent with this chapter.
- (b) Subject to such exceptions and limitations as the Secretary of Defense may provide by regulation, evidence in a military commission shall be admissible if the military judge determines that the evidence would have probative value to a

**FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT**

CLOSE HOLD

reasonable person is relevant and has probative value. Hearsay evidence shall be admissible in the discretion of the military judge unless the circumstances render it unreliable or lacking in probative value.

- (c) **SUBMISSION OF PROCEDURES.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Armed Services Committees of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report setting forth the procedures for military commissions promulgated under this chapter. Thereafter, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the same committees a report on any modification of such procedures, no later than 60 days before the date on which such modifications shall go into effect.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 36)

SEC. 214. UNLAWFULLY INFLUENCING ACTION OF COMMISSION.

- (a) No authority convening a military commission may censure, reprimand, or admonish the commission or any member, military judge, or counsel thereof, with respect to the findings or sentence adjudged by the commission, or with respect to any other exercise of its or his functions in the conduct of the proceedings. No person may attempt to coerce or, by any unauthorized means, influence the action of a commission or any member thereof, in reaching the findings or sentence in any case, or the action of any convening, approving, or reviewing authority with respect to his judicial acts. The foregoing provisions of the subsection shall not apply with respect to
- (1) general instructional or informational courses in military justice if such courses are designed solely for the purpose of instructing members of a command in the substantive and procedural aspects of military commissions, or
 - (2) to statements and instructions given in open proceedings by the military judge or counsel.
- (b) In the preparation of an effectiveness, fitness, or efficiency report or any other report or document used in whole or in part for the purpose of determining whether a member of the armed forces is qualified to be advanced, in grade, or in determining the assignment or transfer of a member of the armed forces or in determining whether a member of the armed forces should be retained on active duty, no person may, in preparing any such report consider or evaluate the performance of duty of any such member of a commission, or give a less favorable rating or evaluation of any member of the armed forces because of the zeal with which such member, as counsel, represented any accused before a military commission, as counsel in representing any accused before a military commission.

**FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT—
CLOSE HOLD**

(b)

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 37)

SEC. 215. DUTIES OF TRIAL COUNSEL AND DEFENSE COUNSEL.

- (a) **TRIAL COUNSEL.**—The trial counsel of a military commission shall prosecute in the name of the United States, and shall, under the direction of the ~~military commission~~, prepare the record of the proceedings.
- (b) **DEFENSE COUNSEL.**
 - (1) The accused shall be represented in his defense before a military commission as provided in this subsection(m).
 - (2) The accused may be represented by civilian counsel if provided ~~retained~~ by him, provided that civilian counsel: (i) is a United States citizen; (ii) is admitted to the practice of law in a State, district, territory, or possession of the United States, or before a Federal court; (iii) has not been the subject of any sanction of disciplinary action by any court, bar, or other competent governmental authority for relevant misconduct; (iv) has been determined to be eligible for access to information classified at the level SECRET or higher; (v) has signed a written agreement to comply with all applicable regulations or instructions for counsel, including any rules of court for conduct during the proceedings; and (vi) complies with any other requirements that the Secretary of Defense may prescribe by regulation(s);
 - (3) The accused shall also be represented by military counsel detailed under section 207 of this chapter.
 - (4) If the accused is represented by civilian counsel, military counsel detailed shall act as associate counsel.
 - (5) The accused is not entitled to be represented by more than one military counsel. However, the person authorized under regulations prescribed under section 207 of this chapter to detail counsel in his sole discretion may detail additional military counsel.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 38)

SEC. 216. SESSIONS.

- (a) At any time after the service of charges which have been referred for trial by military commission, the military judge may call the commission into session without the presence of the members for the purpose of—

**FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT—**

CLOSE HOLD

- (1) hearing and determining motions raising defenses or objections which are capable of determination without trial of the issues raised by a plea of not guilty;
- (2) hearing and ruling upon any matter which may be ruled upon by the military judge under this chapter, whether or not the matter is appropriate for later consideration or decision by the members of the commission;
- (3) if permitted by regulations of the Secretary of Defense, holding the arraignment and receiving the pleas of the accused; and
- (4) performing any other procedural function which may be performed by the military judge under this chapter or under rules prescribed pursuant to section 213 of this chapter and which does not require the presence of the members of the commission.

These proceedings shall be conducted in the presence of the accused, the defense counsel, and the trial counsel, except as provided by subsection (c), and shall be made part of the record.

- (b) When the members of the commission deliberate or vote, only the members may be present. All other proceedings, including any other consultation of the members of the commission with counsel or the military judge, shall be made a part of the record and shall be in the presence of the accused, the defense counsel, and the trial counsel, except as provided by subsection (c).
- (c) The military commission shall hold open proceedings, in the presence of the accused, except as provided in this subsection.
 - (1) The military judge may close all or part of a proceeding on his own initiative or based upon a presentation, including an *ex parte* or *in camera* presentation, by either the prosecution or the defense.
 - (2) The military judge may close to the public all or a portion of the proceeding upon a finding that closing of the proceeding is necessary to protect classified information; information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to cause identifiable damage to the public interest; the physical safety of the participants in the proceeding; intelligence and law enforcement sources, methods, or activities; or other national security interests.
 - (3) A decision to close a proceeding or portion thereof may include a decision to exclude the accused only upon a finding by the military judge that doing so is necessary to protect the national security, to ensure the safety of individuals, or to prevent disruption. One military defense counsel shall be present for all trial proceedings, and the exclusion of the accused shall be no broader than necessary.

**FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT—
CLOSE HOLD**

- (4) If the accused is denied access to classified evidence presented in the proceeding, a redacted or unclassified summary of evidence shall be provided, if it is possible to do so without compromising intelligence sources, methods, or activities, or other national security interests. No evidence shall be admitted to which the accused has been denied access if its admission would result in the denial of a ~~summary of evidence~~.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 39)

SEC. 217. CONTINUANCES.

The military judge may, for reasonable cause, grant a continuance to any party for such time, and as often, as may appear to be just.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 40)

SEC. 218. CHALLENGES.

- (a) The military judge and members of the commission may be challenged by the accused or the trial counsel for cause stated to the commission. The military judge shall determine the relevance and validity of the challenges for cause, and may not receive a challenge to more than one person at a time. Challenges by the trial counsel shall ordinarily be presented and decided before those challenges presented by the defense by the accused are offered.
- (b) Each accused and the trial counsel is entitled to one peremptory challenge, but the military judge may not be challenged except for cause.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 41)

SEC. 219. OATHS.

- (a) Before performing their respective duties, military judges, members of commissions, trial counsel, defense counsel, reporters, and interpreters shall take an oath to perform their duties faithfully. The form of the oath, the time and place of the taking thereof, the manner of recording the same, and whether the oath shall be taken for all cases in which these duties are to be performed or for a particular case, shall be as prescribed in regulations of the Secretary. These regulations may provide that an oath to perform faithfully duties as a military judge, trial counsel, or defense counsel, may be taken at any time by any judge advocate or other person certified to be qualified or competent for duty, and if such an oath is taken it need not again be taken at the time the judge advocate, or other person is detailed to that duty.
- (b) Each witness before a commission shall be examined on oath.

FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT—
CLOSE HOLD

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 42)

SEC. 220. FORMER JEOPARDY.

- (a) No person may, without his consent, be tried by a commission a second time for the same offense.
- (b) No proceeding in which the accused has been found guilty by military commission upon any charge or specification is a trial in the sense of this section until the finding of guilty has become final after review of the case has been fully completed.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 44)

SEC. 221. PLEAS OF THE ACCUSED⁽¹³⁾.

- (a) If an accused after charges have been filed makes an irregular pleading, or after a plea of guilty sets up matter inconsistent with the plea, or if it appears that he has entered the plea of guilty through lack of understanding of its meaning and effect, or if he fails or refuses to plead, a plea of not guilty shall be entered in the record, and the commission shall proceed as though he had pleaded not guilty.
- (b) A plea of guilty by the accused may not be received to any charge or specification alleging an offense for which the death penalty is sought. With respect to any other charge or specification to which a plea of guilty has been made by the accused and accepted by the military judge, a finding of guilty of the charge or specification may, if permitted by regulations, be entered immediately without a vote. This finding shall constitute the finding of the commission unless the plea of guilty is withdrawn prior to announcement of the sentence, in which event the proceedings shall continue as though the accused had pleaded not guilty.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 45)

SEC. 222. OPPORTUNITY TO OBTAIN WITNESSES AND OTHER EVIDENCE.

- (a) Defense counsel shall have opportunity to obtain witnesses and other evidence in accordance with such regulations as the Secretary of Defense may prescribe. Defense counsel may cross-examine each witness for the prosecution who testifies before the commission. Process issued in military commissions to compel witnesses to appear and testify and to compel the production of other evidence shall be similar to that which courts of the United States having criminal jurisdiction may lawfully issue and shall run to any place where the United States shall have jurisdiction thereof.

FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY

DELIBERATIVE DRAFT—

CLOSE HOLD

- (b) As soon as practicable, trial counsel shall disclose to the defense the existence of any evidence known to trial counsel that reasonably tends to exculpate the accused. Exculpatory evidence that is classified may be provided solely to military defense counsel, after *in camera* review by the military judge. All exculpatory classified evidence shall be provided to the accused in a redacted or summary form, if it is possible to do so without compromising intelligence sources, methods, or activities, or other national security interests.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 46)

SEC. 223. DEFENSE OF LACK OF MENTAL RESPONSIBILITY.

- (a) It is an affirmative defense in a trial by military commission that, at the time of the commission of the acts constituting the offense, the accused, as a result of a severe mental disease or defect, was unable to appreciate the nature and quality of the wrongfulness of the acts. Mental disease or defect does not otherwise constitute a defense.
- (b) The accused has the burden of proving the defense of lack of mental responsibility by clear and convincing evidence.
- (c) Whenever lack of mental responsibility of the accused with respect to an offense is properly at issue, the military judge shall instruct the members of the commission as to the defense of lack of mental responsibility under this section and shall charge them to find the accused—
- (1) guilty;
 - (2) not guilty; or
 - (3) not guilty only by reason of lack of mental responsibility.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 504)

SEC. 224. VOTING AND RULINGS.

- (a) Voting by members of a military commission on the findings and on the sentence shall be by secret written ballot.
- (b) The military judge shall rule upon all questions of law, including the admissibility of evidence, and all interlocutory questions arising during the proceedings. Any such ruling made by the military judge upon any question of law or any interlocutory question other than the factual issue of mental responsibility of the accused is final and constitutes the ruling of the commission. However, the military judge may change his ruling at any time during the trial.

DELIBERATIVE DRAFT
CLOSE HOLD

(c) Before a vote is taken of the findings, the military judge shall, in the presence of the accused and counsel, instruct the members of the commission as to the elements of the offense and charge them—

- (1) that the accused must be presumed to be innocent until his guilt is established by legal and competent evidence beyond reasonable doubt;
- (2) that in the case being considered, if there is a reasonable doubt as to the guilt of the accused, the doubt must be resolved in favor of the accused and he must be acquitted;
- (3) that, if there is reasonable doubt as to the degree of guilt, the finding must be in a lower degree as to which there is no reasonable doubt; and
- (4) that the burden of proof to establish the guilt of the accused beyond a reasonable doubt is upon the United States.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 51)

SEC. 223. NUMBER OF VOTES REQUIRED.

(a) CONVICTION.—

No person may be convicted of an offense for which the death penalty is made mandatory by law, except by the concurrence of all the members of the military commission present at the time the vote is taken.

(2)

- (1) No person may be convicted of any other offense, except as provided in section 221(b) of this chapter or by concurrence of two-thirds of the members present at the time the vote is taken.
- (2) Where less than two-thirds of the members present at the time the vote is taken do not concur, the accused is acquitted of the respective offense.

(b) SENTENCE.—

(1) Capital Cases. Where the President or Secretary have expressly made an offense punishable by death, No person may be sentenced to suffer death, unless all members present at the time the vote is taken, except

(A) unanimously concur in a finding of guilty; and

(B) unanimously concur in a sentence of death, by the concurrence of all the members of the military commission present at the time the vote

**FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT
CLOSE HOLD**

is taken and for an offence in this chapter expressly made punishable by death.

(2) Non-Capital Cases.

(A) No person may be sentenced to life imprisonment or to confinement for more than ten years, except by the concurrence of three-fourths of the members present at the time the vote is taken (us).

(B) All other sentences shall be determined by the concurrence of two-thirds of the members at the time the vote is taken.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 52)

SEC. 226. COMMISSION TO ANNOUNCE ACTION.

A military commission shall announce its findings and sentence to the parties as soon as determined.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 53)

SEC. 227. RECORD OF TRIAL.

- (a) Each military commission shall keep a separate record of the proceedings in each case brought before it, and the record shall be authenticated by the signature of the military judge. If the record cannot be authenticated by the military judge by reason of his death, disability, or absence, it shall be authenticated by the signature of the trial counsel or by that of a member of the commission if the trial counsel is unable to authenticate it by reason of his death, disability, or absence. Where appropriate, and as provided by regulation, the record of the military commission may contain a classified annex.
- (b) A complete record of the proceedings and testimony shall be prepared in every military commission established under this chapter.
- (c) A copy of the record of the proceedings of each military commission shall be given to the accused as soon as it is authenticated. Where the record contains classified information, or a classified annex, the accused should receive a redacted version of the record. The appropriate defense counsel shall have access to the unredacted record, as provided by regulation.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 54)

SEC. 228. CRUEL OR UNUSUAL PUNISHMENTS PROHIBITED.

FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT—
CLOSE HOLD

Punishment by flogging, or by branding, marking, or tattooing on the body, or any other cruel or unusual punishment, may not be adjudged by a military commission or inflicted upon any person subject to this chapter. The use of irons, single or double, except for the purpose of safe custody, is prohibited.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 55)

SEC. 229. MAXIMUM LIMITS.

The punishment which a military commission may direct for an offense may not exceed such limits as the President or Secretary of Defense may prescribe for that offense.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 56)

SEC. 230. EXECUTION OF CONFINEMENT₍₁₆₎.

Under such regulations as the Secretary of Defense may prescribe, a sentence of confinement adjudged by a military commission may be carried into execution by confinement in any place of confinement under the control of any of the armed forces or in any penal or correctional institution under the control of the United States, or which the United States may be allowed to use. Persons so confined in a penal or correctional institution not under the control of one of the armed forces are subject to the same discipline and treatment as persons confined or committed by the courts of the United States or of the State, Territory, District of Columbia, or place in which the institution is situated. Any sentence to confinement will have no effect upon the ability of the United States, in accordance with the law of war, to detain enemy combatants until the cessation of hostilities.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 58)

SEC. 231. ERROR OF LAW; LESSER INCLUDED OFFENSE.

- (a) A finding or sentence of a military commission may not be held incorrect on the ground of an error of law unless the error materially prejudices the substantial rights of the accused.
- (b) Any reviewing authority with the power to approve or affirm a finding of guilty may approve or affirm, instead, so much of the finding as includes a lesser included offense.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 59)

SEC. 232. REVIEW BY THE CONVENING AUTHORITY.

- (a) The findings and sentence of a military commission shall be reported promptly to the convening authority after the announcement of the sentence.

**FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT—
CLOSE HOLD**

(b) REVIEW BY CONVENING AUTHORITY.—

- (1) The accused may submit to the convening authority matters for consideration by the convening authority with respect to the findings and the sentence. Such a submission shall be made within 10 days after the accused has been given an authenticated record of trial.
- (2) If the accused shows that additional time is required for the accused to submit such matters, the convening authority, for good cause, may extend the applicable period under paragraph (1) for not more than an additional 20 days.
- (3) The accused may waive his right to make a submission to the convening authority under paragraph (1). Such a waiver must be made in writing and may not be revoked. For the purposes of subsection (c)(2), the time within which the accused may make a submission under this subsection shall be deemed to have expired upon the submission of such a waiver to the convening authority.

(c) ACTION BY THE CONVENING AUTHORITY.—

- (1) The authority under this section to modify the findings and sentence of a military commission is a matter of command prerogative involving the sole discretion of the convening authority.
- (2) Action on the sentence of a military commission shall be taken by the convening authority. Subject to regulations of the Secretary of Defense, such action may be taken only after consideration of any matters submitted by the accused under subsection (b) or after the time for submitting such matters expires, whichever is earlier. The convening authority, in his sole discretion, may approve, disapprove, commute, or suspend the sentence in whole or in part. The convening authority may not increase the sentence beyond that which is found by the commission.
- (3) Action on the findings of a military commission by the convening authority is not required. However, such person, in his sole discretion, may:
 - (A) dismiss any charge or specification by setting aside a finding of guilty thereto; or
 - (B) change a finding of guilty to a charge to a finding of guilty to an offense that is a lesser included offense of the offense stated in the charge.

REF ID: A6592

~~FOR OFFICERS ONLY~~
**DELIBERATIVE DRAFT—
CLOSE HOLD**

(d) ORDER OF REVISION OR REHEARING.—

- (1) The convening authority, in his sole discretion, may order a proceeding in revision or a rehearing.
- (2) A proceeding in revision may be ordered if there is an apparent error or omission in the record or if the record shows improper or inconsistent action by a military commission with respect to the findings or sentence that can be rectified without material prejudice to the substantial rights of the accused. In no case, however, may a proceeding in revision—
 - (A) reconsider a finding of not guilty of any specification or a ruling which amounts to a finding of not guilty;
 - (B) reconsider a finding of not guilty of any charge, unless there has been a finding of guilty under a specification laid under that charge, which sufficiently alleges a violation;
 - (C) increase the severity of the sentence unless the sentence prescribed for the offense is mandatory.
- (3) A rehearing may be ordered by the convening authority if he disapproves the findings and sentence and states the reasons for disapproval of the findings. If such a person disapproves the findings and sentence and does not order a rehearing, he shall dismiss the charges. A rehearing as to the findings may not be ordered where there is a lack of sufficient evidence in the record to support the findings. A rehearing as to the sentence may be ordered if the convening authority disapproves the sentence.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 60)

SEC. 233. WAIVER OR WITHDRAWAL OF APPEAL.

- (a) In each case subject to appellate review under section 236 or 237 of this chapter, except a case in which the sentence as approved under section 232 of this chapter includes death, the accused may file with the convening authority a statement expressly waiving the right of the accused to such review. Such a waiver shall be signed by both the accused and by a defense counsel and must be filed within 10 days after the action under section 232 of this chapter is served on the accused or on defense counsel. The convening authority, for good cause, may extend the period for such filing by not more than 30 days.
- (b) Except in a case in which the sentence as approved under section 233 of this chapter includes death, the accused may withdraw an appeal at any time.

**FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT
CLOSE HOLD**

- (c) A waiver of the right to appellate review or the withdrawal of an appeal under this section bars review under section 236 or 237 of this chapter.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 61)

SEC. 234. APPEAL BY THE UNITED STATES.

- (a) In a trial by military commission, the United States may take an interlocutory appeal to the Court of Military Commission Review of any order or ruling of the military judge which terminates commission proceedings with respect to a charge or specifications or which excludes evidence that is substantial proof of a fact material in the proceeding. However, the United States may not appeal an order or ruling that is, or amounts to, a finding of not guilty by the commission with respect to the charge or specification.
- (b) The United States shall take an appeal by filing a notice of appeal with the military judge within five days after the date of such order or ruling.
- (c) An appeal under this section shall be forwarded by means prescribed under regulations of the Secretary of Defense directly to the Court of Military Commission Review. In ruling on an appeal under this section, the Court of Military Commission Review may act only with respect to matters of law.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 62)

SEC. 235. REHEARINGS.

Each rehearing under this chapter shall take place before a military commission composed of members not members of the commission which first heard the case. Upon a rehearing the accused may not be tried for any offense of which he was found not guilty by the first commission, and no sentence in excess of or more than the original sentence may be imposed unless the sentence is based upon a finding of guilty of an offense not considered upon the merits in the original proceedings, or unless the sentence prescribed for the offense is mandatory. If the sentence approved after the first commission was in accordance with a pretrial agreement and the accused at the rehearing changes his plea with respect to the charges or specifications upon which the pretrial agreement was based, or otherwise does not comply with pretrial agreement, the sentence as to those charges or specifications may include any punishment not in excess of that lawfully adjudged at the first commission.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 63)

SEC. 235. REVIEW BY COURT OF MILITARY COMMISSION REVIEW.

FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT

CLOSE HOLD

- (a) The Secretary of Defense shall establish a Court of Military Commission Review which shall be composed of one or more panels, and each such panel shall be composed of not less than three appellate military judges. For the purpose of reviewing military commission decisions, the court may sit in panels or as a whole in accordance with rules prescribed by the Secretary.
- (b) The Secretary of Defense shall assign appellate military judges to a Court of Military Commission Review, who may be commissioned officers or civilians, each of whom must be a member of a bar of a Federal court or the highest court of a State.
- (c) Both the accused and the United States, pursuant to section 235, may take an appeal from the final decision of a military commission to the Court of Military Commission Review in accordance with procedures prescribed under regulations of the Secretary of Defense.
- (d) In ruling on an appeal under this section, the Court of Military Commission Review may act only with respect to matters of law.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 66)

SEC. 236. REVIEW BY THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT.

Pursuant to Section 1005(e)(3) of the Detainee Treatment Act of 2005, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit shall have exclusive jurisdiction to determine the validity of a final judgment rendered by a military commission. The Court of Appeals shall not review the final judgment until all other appeals under this chapter have been waived or exhausted. The Supreme Court of the United States may review by writ of certiorari the final judgment of the Court of Appeals pursuant to section 1257 of title 28, United States Code.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 67)

SEC. 237. APPELLATE COUNSEL.

- (a) The Secretary of Defense shall, by regulation, establish procedures for the appointment of appellate counsel for the United States and for the accused. Appellate counsel shall meet the qualifications for appearing before military commissions under this chapter.
- (b) Appellate counsel may represent the United States in any appeal or review proceeding under this chapter. Appellate Government counsel may represent the United States before the Supreme Court in cases arising under this chapter when requested to do so by the Attorney General.

**FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT—
CLOSE HOLD**

- (c) The accused shall be represented by appellate military counsel before the Court of Military Commission Review, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, or the Supreme Court, or by civilian counsel if provided by him, so long as the civilian counsel meets the qualifications for appearing before military commissions under this chapter.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 70)

SEC. 239. EXECUTION OF SENTENCE; SUSPENSION OF SENTENCE.

- (a) If the sentence of the commission extends to death, that part of the sentence providing for death may not be executed until approved by the President. In such a case, the President may commute, remit, or suspend the sentence, or any part thereof, as he sees fit.
- (b) If a sentence extends to death, the sentence may not be executed until there is a final judgment as to the legality of the proceedings (and, with respect to death, approval under subsection (a)). A judgment as to legality of the proceedings is final in such cases when review is completed by the Court of Military Commission Review and—
- (1) the time for the accused to file a petition for review by the Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit has expired and the accused has not filed a timely petition for such review and the case is not otherwise under review by that Court; or
 - (2) review is completed in accordance with the judgment of the Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit and (i) a petition for a writ of certiorari is not timely filed; (ii) such a petition is denied by the Supreme Court; or (iii) review is otherwise completed in accordance with the judgment of the Supreme Court.
- (c) The Secretary of Defense or the convening authority acting on the case under section 233 of this chapter may suspend the execution of any sentence or part thereof, except a death sentence.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 71)

SEC. 240. FINALITY OF PROCEEDINGS, FINDINGS, AND SENTENCES.

- (a) The appellate review of records of trial provided by this chapter, the proceedings, findings, and sentences of military commissions as approved, reviewed, or affirmed as required by this chapter, are final and conclusive. Orders publishing the proceedings of military commissions are binding upon all departments, courts, agencies, and officers of the United States, subject only to action by the Secretary

**DELIBERATIVE DRAFT—
CLOSE HOLD**

of Defense as provided in section 240 of this chapter, and the authority of the President.

- (b) Except as provided for in this chapter, and notwithstanding any other law, including section 2241 of title 28, United States Code (or any other habeas corpus provision), no court, justice, or judge shall have jurisdiction to hear or consider any claim or cause of action whatsoever, including any action pending on or filed after the date of enactment of this Act, relating to the prosecution, trial, or judgment of a military commission convened under this section, including challenges to the lawfulness of commission procedures.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 76)

SEC. 241. SUBSTANTIVE OFFENSES.

- (a) **BACKGROUND.**—The following provisions codify offenses that have traditionally been tried by military commissions. This Act does not purport to establish new crimes that did not exist before its establishment, but rather to codify those crimes for trial by military commission and for other purposes under federal law. Because these provisions are declarative of existing law, they do not preclude trial for crimes that occurred prior to their effective date.
- (b) The Secretary of Defense may, by regulation, specify other violations of the laws of war that may be tried by military commission, provided that no such offense may be cognizable in a trial by military commission if that offense did not exist prior to the conduct in question.

(adapted from UCMJ subchapter X)

SEC. 242. PRINCIPALS.

Any person punishable under this chapter who—

- (a) commits an offense punishable by this chapter, or aids, abets, counsels, commands, or procures its commission or
- (b) causes an act to be done which if directly performed by him would be punishable by this chapter, is a principal.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 77)

SEC. 243. ACCESSORY AFTER THE FACT.

Any person subject to this chapter who, knowing that an offense punishable by this chapter has been committed, receives, comforts, or assists the offender in

**FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT—**

CLOSE HOLD

order to hinder or prevent his apprehension, trial, or punishment shall be punished as a military commission may direct.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 78)

SEC. 244. CONVICTION OF LESSER OFFENSE.

An accused may be found guilty of an offense necessarily included in the offense charged or of an attempt to commit either the offense charged or an offense necessarily included therein.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 79)

SEC. 245. ATTEMPTS.

- (a) An act, done with specific intent to commit an offense under this chapter, amounting to more than mere preparation and tending, even though failing, to effect its commission, is an attempt to commit that offense.
- (b) Any person subject to this chapter who attempts to commit any offense punishable by this act shall be punished as a military commission may direct.
- (c) Any person subject to this chapter may be convicted of an attempt to commit an offense although it appears on the trial that the offense was consummated.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 80)

SEC. 246. SOLICITATION.

Any person subject to this chapter who solicits or advises another or others to commit one or more substantive offenses triable by military commission shall, if the offense solicited or advised is attempted or committed, be punished with the punishment provided for the commission of the offense, but, if the offense solicited or advised is not committed or attempted, he shall be punished as a military commission may direct.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 82)

SEC. 247. CRIMES TRIABLE BY MILITARY COMMISSION.

The following enumerated offenses, when committed in the context of and associated with armed conflict, shall be triable by military commission under this chapter:

- (a) **DEFINITIONS.**—

FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY

DELIBERATIVE DRAFT

CLOSE HOLD

- (1) COMBATANT IMMUNITY.—“Combatant immunity” means the privilege accorded to lawful combatants under the law of who are in compliance with the law of war armed conflict.
- (2) PROTECTED PERSON.—For purposes of this section, “protected person” refers to any person who is protected under one or more of the Geneva Conventions, including those placed *hors de combat* by sickness, wounds, or detention, and medical or religious personnel taking no direct or active part in hostilities.
- (3) PROTECTED PROPERTY.—“Protected property” refers to property specifically protected by the law of armed conflict such as buildings dedicated to religion, education, art, science or charitable purposes, historic monuments, hospitals, or places where the sick and wounded are collected, provide they are not being used for military purposes or are not otherwise military objectives. Such property would include objects properly identified by one of the distinctive emblems of the Geneva Conventions but does not include all civilian property.

(b) OFFENSES IN VIOLATION OF THE LAWS OF WAR.

- (1) WILLFULLY KILLING PROTECTED PERSONS.—Any person who intentionally kills one or more protected persons other than incident to a lawful attack is guilty of the offense of willfully killing protected persons and shall be subject to whatever punishment the commission may direct.
- (2) ATTACKING CIVILIANS.—Any person who intentionally engages in an attack upon a civilian population as such or individual civilians not taking direct or active part in hostilities other than incident to a lawful attack is guilty of the offense of attacking civilians and shall be subject to whatever punishment the commission may direct.
- (3) ATTACKING CIVILIAN OBJECTS.—Any person who intentionally engages in an attack upon civilian objects (property that is not a military objective) other than incident to a lawful attack shall be guilty of the offense of attacking civilian objects and shall be subject to whatever punishment the commission may direct.
- (4) ATTACKING PROTECTED PROPERTY.—Any person who intentionally engages in an attack upon protected property other than incident to a lawful attack shall be guilty of the offense of attacking protected property and shall be subject to whatever punishment the commission may direct.
- (5) PILLAGING.—Any person who intentionally and in the absence of military necessity appropriates or seizes property for private or personal use, without the consent of a person with authority to permit such

FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT—
CLOSE HOLD

appropriation or seizure, shall be guilty of the offense of pillaging and shall be subject to whatever punishment the commission may direct.

- (6) **DENYING QUARTER.**—Any person who, with effective command or control over subordinate forces, declares, orders, or otherwise indicates to those forces that there shall be no survivors or surrender accepted, with the intent therefore to threaten an adversary or to conduct hostilities such that there would be no survivors or surrender accepted, shall be guilty of denying quarter and shall be subject to whatever punishment the commission may direct.
- (7) **TAKING HOSTAGES.**—Any person who, having seized or detained one or more persons in violation of the laws of armed conflict, threatens to kill, injure, or continue to detain such person or persons with the intent of compelling any nation, person other than the hostage, or group of persons to act or refrain from acting as an explicit or implicit condition for the safety or release of such person or persons, shall be guilty of the offense of taking hostages and shall be subject to whatever punishment the commission may direct.
- (8) **EMPLOYING POISON OR ANALOGOUS WEAPONS.**—Any person who intentionally, as a method of warfare, employs a substance or a weapon that releases a substance that causes death or serious and lasting damage to health in the ordinary course of events, through its asphyxiating, bacteriological, or toxic properties, shall be guilty of employing poison or analogous weapons and shall be subject to whatever punishment the commission may direct.
- (9) **USING PROTECTED PERSONS AS SHIELDS.**—Any person who positions, or otherwise takes advantage of, protected persons with the intent to shield a military objective from attack or to shield, favor, or impede military operations, shall be guilty of the offense of using protected persons as shields and shall be subject to whatever punishment the commission may direct.
- (10) **USING PROTECTED PROPERTY AS SHIELDS.**—Any person who positions, or otherwise takes advantage of the location of, civilian property or protected property under the law of war with the intent to shield a military objective from attack or to shield, favor, or impede military operations, shall be guilty of the offense of using protected property as shields and shall be subject to whatever punishment the commission may direct.
- (11) **TORTURE.**—Any person who commits an act specifically intended to inflict severe physical or mental pain or suffering (other than pain or suffering incidental to lawful sanctions) upon another person within his custody or physical control shall be guilty of torture and subject to

FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT
CLOSE HOLD

whatever punishment the commission may direct. "Severe mental pain or suffering" has the meaning provided in 18 U.S.C. § 2340(2).

- (12) **WILLFULLY CAUSING GREAT SUFFERING OR SERIOUS INJURY.**—Any person who intentionally causes serious injury or serious endangerment to the body or health of one or more protected persons shall be guilty of the offense of causing serious injury and shall be subject to whatever punishment the commission may direct.
- (13) **MUTILATING OR MAIMING.**—Any person who intentionally injures one or more protected persons, by disfiguring the person or persons by any mutilation thereof or by permanently disabling any member, limb, or organ of his body, without any legitimate medical or dental purpose, shall be guilty of the offense of mutilation or maiming and shall be subject to whatever punishment the commission may direct.
- (14) **USING TREACHERY OR PERfidY.**—Any person who, after inviting the confidence or belief of one or more persons that they were entitled to, or obliged to accord, protection under the law of war, intentionally makes use of that confidence or belief in killing, injuring, or capturing such person or persons, shall be guilty of using treachery or perfidy and shall be subject to whatever punishment the commission may direct.
- (15) **IMPROPERLY USING A FLAG OF TRUCE.**—Any person who uses a flag of truce to feign an intention to negotiate, surrender, or otherwise to suspend hostilities when there is no such intention, shall be guilty of improperly using a flag of truce and shall be subject to whatever punishment the commission may direct.
- (16) **IMPROPERLY USING A DISTINCTIVE EMBLEM.**—Any person who intentionally uses a distinctive emblem recognized by the law of armed conflict for combatant purposes in a manner prohibited by the law of armed conflict shall be guilty of improperly using a distinctive emblem and shall be subject to whatever punishment the commission may direct.
- (17) **WILLFULLY MISTREATING A DEAD BODY.**—Any person who intentionally mistreats the body of a dead person, without justification by legitimate military necessity, shall be guilty of the offense of mistreating a dead body and shall be subject to whatever punishment the commission may direct.
- (18) **RAPE.**—Any person who forcibly or with coercion or threat of force invades the body of a person by penetrating, however slightly, the anal or genital opening of the victim with any part of the body of the accused or with any foreign object shall be guilty of the offense of rape and shall be subject to whatever punishment the commission may direct.

**FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT—
CLOSE HOLD**

(19) CONSPIRACY.—Any person who conspires to commit one or more substantive offenses triable under this section, and who knowingly does any overt act to effect the object of the conspiracy, shall be guilty of conspiracy to commit a war crime and shall be subject to whatever punishment the commission may direct.

(c) OTHER OFFENSES TRIABLE BY MILITARY COMMISSION.—

- (1) HIJACKING OR HAZARDING A VESSEL OR AIRCRAFT.—Any person not protected by combatant immunity who intentionally seizes, exercises unauthorized control over, or endangers the safe navigation of, a vessel or aircraft that was not a legitimate military target is guilty of the offense of hijacking or hazarding a vessel or aircraft and shall be subject to whatever punishment the commission may direct.
- (2) TERRORISM.—Any person not protected by combatant immunity who intentionally kills or inflicts great bodily harm on one or more persons in a manner calculated to influence or affect the conduct of government or civilian population by intimidation or coercion, or to retaliate against government conduct, shall be guilty of the offense of terrorism and shall be subject to whatever punishment the commission may direct.
- (3) MURDER BY AN UNPRIVILEGED BELLIGERENT.—Any person not protected by combatant immunity who intentionally kills one or more persons, or intentionally engages in an act that evinced a wanton disregard for human life, shall be guilty of the offense of murder by an unprivileged belligerent and shall be subject to whatever punishment the commission may direct.
- (4) DESTRUCTION OF PROPERTY BY AN UNPRIVILEGED BELLIGERENT.—Any person not protected by combatant immunity who intentionally destroys property belonging to another person, without that person's consent, shall be guilty of the offense of destruction of property by an unprivileged belligerent and shall be subject to whatever punishment the commission may direct.
- (5) WRONGFULLY AIDING THE ENEMY.—Any person who, in breach of an allegiance or duty to the United States, knowingly and intentionally aids an enemy of the United States or one its co-belligerents shall be guilty of the offense of wrongfully aiding the enemy and shall be subject to whatever punishment the commission may direct.
- (6) SPYING.—Any person who collects or attempts to collect certain information, intending to convey such information to an enemy of the United States or one of its co-belligerents, by clandestine means or while

**FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
DELIBERATIVE DRAFT
CLOSE HOLD**

acting under false pretenses, shall be guilty of the offense of spying and shall be subject to whatever punishment the commission may direct.

SEC. 248. PERJURY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE.

The military commissions also may try offenses and impose punishments for perjury, false testimony, or obstruction of justice related to military commissions.

(adapted from UCMJ Art. 84)